The Regime Selection Principle

Minimal Descriptive Access for Invariant Structure

Stephen Garner

April 27, 2026

Abstract

Mathematical structures may exhibit invariant behavior under either finite or infinite access regimes. While infinite constructions such as limits and infinite series extend descriptive power, they are not always necessary to preserve invariant structure. In this paper, we introduce the Regime Selection Principle: a criterion for determining the minimal descriptive regime required to capture invariant structure under constraint, operator action, and projection. We formalize this principle within the (Σ, A, Φ, I, P) framework and show how it resolves ambiguities between algebraic and analytic descriptions, distinguishes structural behavior from representational artifacts, and provides a disciplined method for selecting appropriate levels of abstraction.

1 Introduction

Mathematical objects may be described through finite constructions or through infinite processes such as limits, infinite sums, or asymptotic iteration. In many cases, both descriptions are formally valid. However, from the standpoint of descriptive legitimacy, these descriptions may differ significantly in their stability under constraint.

This raises a fundamental question:

When is a finite description sufficient, and when is an infinite construction required to preserve invariant structure?

The Regime Selection Principle provides a formal answer to this question by identifying the minimal access regime necessary to capture invariant behavior.

2 Formal Framework

We work within the structural schema:

$$(\Sigma, A, \Phi, I, P)$$

where:

- Σ is a configuration space,
- $A \subseteq \Sigma$ is the admissible set,
- $\Phi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is an operator,
- I is the invariant structure under Φ,

- $P : \Sigma \to O$ is a projection into observable representation.

Define:

$$I_{\text{fin}} = \{x \in A \mid \exists k < \infty : \Phi^k(x) = x \text{ or stabilizes}\},$$

$$I_{\text{inf}} = \left\{ x \in A \;\middle|\; x = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi^n(x_0) \text{ for some } x_0 \in \Sigma \right\}.$$

3 The Regime Selection Principle

[Regime Selection Principle] Let I be the invariant structure of a system under Φ. The appropriate descriptive regime R^* is given by:

$$R^* = \min\{R \in \{\text{fin}, \text{inf}\} \mid P(I_R) = P(I)\}.$$

That is, the correct regime is the weakest access regime whose invariant structure preserves the observable projection.

4 Interpretation

The principle asserts:

- Finite invariance should be used whenever it suffices to preserve observable structure.
- Infinite constructions should be introduced only when finite descriptions fail to capture the invariant.

Equivalently:

Do not invoke infinite structure unless it is required to preserve invariance.

This enforces minimality and prevents unnecessary descriptive extension.

5 Layer Dependence

Regime selection depends on the descriptive layer:

$$(\Sigma, A, \Phi, I, P)_\lambda$$

A structure may be finite at one level and infinite at another.

5.1 Example: Rational Numbers

$$\frac{1}{3}$$

- Structure (rational number): finite
- Operator (modular dynamics): finite cycle

- Representation (decimal expansion): infinite

Thus:

$$R^* = \begin{cases} \text{fin} & \text{at structural level} \\ \text{inf} & \text{at representational level} \end{cases}$$

6 Examples

6.1 Finite Regime

$$\frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$

Finite representation preserves the invariant:

$$R^* = \text{fin}.$$

6.2 Mixed Regime

$$\frac{1}{3} = 0.333\ldots$$

Infinite representation, but finite generator:

$$x \mapsto 10x \mod 3.$$

6.3 Infinite Regime

$$\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

No finite truncation preserves the invariant:

$$R^* = \text{inf}.$$

7 Projection Discipline

Representation may obscure the true regime.

- Finite structures may appear infinite under projection.
- Infinite descriptions may encode finite invariants.

Thus:

$$\boxed{\text{Regime must be determined at the level of invariant structure, not representation.}}$$

8 Kernel Interpretation

If the system admits a kernel representation:

$$K = \sum_{\gamma} \prod_{k} T_{\alpha_{k+1},\alpha_k},$$

then:

- Finite regime: dominated by finite cycles or paths
- Infinite regime: requires full path summation or spectral trace

Regime selection becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \text{fin} & \text{if finite paths preserve } P(K) \\ \text{inf} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

9 Diagnostic Criteria

To determine the appropriate regime:

1. Does a finite operator description exist?
2. Does the invariant stabilize in finite steps?
3. Does finite representation preserve the invariant?
4. Is apparent infinity a projection artifact?
5. Does infinite completion change the invariant?

10 Conclusion

The Regime Selection Principle provides a disciplined method for choosing between finite and infinite descriptions of mathematical structure. It ensures that infinite constructions are used only when necessary and that invariant structure remains the primary criterion for descriptive legitimacy.

Mathematical structure should be described at the weakest level of access that preserves its invariant content.